ABSTRACT: Pedanius Dioscorides was a Roman army surgeon in the first century A.D. His great work called De Materia Medica is widely accepted as the foremost pharmaceutical source of antiquity. Dioscorides was the originator of materia medica (pharmacology), and he took advantage of his extensive travels to study plants. Dioscorides was considered a major authority on simple drugs for sixteen centuries. De Materia Medica served as a corner stone for both western and eastern pharmaceutical and herbal writing, and was translated into Syriac, Arabic, and Persian, as well as Latin. The particular characteristic of medical therapy in the Medieval Period was the extensive employment of drugs of all kinds. For this reason, Dioscorides’ De Materia Medica was not only studied closely, but it also became a text book that Turk-Islamic scientists frequently referred to in their writings.
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ESTUDIOS SOBRE DE MATERIA MEDICA DE DIOSCÓRIDES EN LA ERA ISLÁMICA

RESUMEN: Pedanius Dioscórides fue médico cirujano en el ejército romano en el siglo I d.C. Su gran obra llamada De Materia Medica es conocida como la principal fuente de farmacopea de la antigüedad. Dioscórides fue el inventor de la materia medica, y aprovechó sus extensos viajes para dedicarse al estudio de las plantas. Dioscórides fue considerado una eminencia en el tema de las drogas de origen natural a lo largo de dieciséis siglos. De Materia Medica sirvió como piedra angular para los textos farmacéuticos y herbáceos tanto en occidente como en oriente y fue traducida al siríaco, árabe y persa, además de al latín. La particular característica de la terapia médica en la época medieval fue el uso extensivo de todos los tipos de drogas. Por esta razón, además de estudiarse minuciosamente, De Materia Medica de Dioscórides se convirtió también en un libro de texto al que frecuentemente hacían referencia los científicos turco-islámicos en sus escrituras.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Dioscorides was born in the first century A.D. in the Cilicia Region of Anatolia (Anazarba, near Adana of modern-day Turkey). It is known that he was a military physician in the Roman Army during the period of the Roman Emperors Caligula (37-41), Claudius (41-54) and Nero (54-68). His full name was Pedanius Dioscorides. He had vast medical knowledge, and showed great interest in botanical sciences as well as in pharmacognosy. He had the opportunity to study the plants of many different regions while traveling with the Roman Army, and wrote the most important text on botany and pharmacognosy. He traveled extensively throughout Anatolia, Egypt, Arabia, Persia, Gallia, North Africa and Caucasus.

The name of his great book is Περί ύλης ιάτρικης (Peri hyles iatrikes) in Greek. But this monumental work is more widely known by its Latin name, De Materia Medica. Peri ύλης ιάτρικης and De Materia Medica mean "On Medical Materials". De Materia Medica includes more than 600 herbal drugs, about 35 drugs from animal sources, and about 90 drugs prepared with minerals, most of which are depicted in paintings. In addition, it includes detailed information about those drugs, such as their places and methods of cultivation, botanical descriptions, medical effects, methods of use, side effects, dosages, veterinary usage and non-medical usage (Gunther, 1968, p. 1).

De Materia Medica consists of five books. In its English version, which was translated by John Goodyer in 1655 and was prepared by R. Gunther, aromatic plants, oils, ointments, trees and their juice, resin and fruits are mentioned in the first book; drugs from animal sources, cereals and vegetables are mentioned in the second book; roots and their juice, leaves and seeds are mentioned in the third and fourth books; grapes, different types of wine and minerals are mentioned in the fifth book.

2. DE MATERIA MEDICA AND ITS FIRST TRANSLATIONS IN THE ISLAMIC ERA

De Materia Medica was translated from Greek to the Arabic and Syriac languages several times during the translation movement of the Islamic science period (8th century). Thus it became available to the scientists of the Islamic societies.

The translation of De Materia Medica was a very difficult study in terms of botanical science. Because Dioscorides wrote his book while travelling throughout very extensive regions, it was very difficult to find a proper equivalent name for the plants in the translated languages. The translators could not find Arabic and Syriac synonyms for the drugs Dioscorides made reference to. Stephanos ibn Basilos did not translate all the drug names. Rather, he chose to transcribe many of the Greek drug names to the Arabic alphabet. Since the botanical nomenclature in the Arabic language was not sufficient to translate all of the drugs in De Materia Medica of Dioscorides, the translators had to reformulate the Arabic botanical nomenclature. Thus, an extensive Arabic botanical nomenclature was developed through the efforts of translating De Materia Medica. During the Middle Ages, Dioscorides was remembered largely through aphorisms and the magical properties of drugs. Due to the fact that many of the Islamic writers on medicine were interested in simple drugs and their properties, improved versions of this monumental work were made available from time to time, especially in the Western Caliphate.

Until the 12th century, the De Materia Medica translators in the Islamic culture society were:

2.1. Gabriel b. Bocht-Ichô: The first known and the most distinguished Syriac translation of De Materia Medica from its original Greek version was carried out by Gabriel b. Bocht-Ichô in the 9th century (Şehsuvaroğlu, 1961, p. 39). However, Ünver notes that this translation was made by Bocht-Ichô b. Gabriel. Ünver also writes that: “There is a Dioscorides copy registered in Ahmed III Library (2147 a). In its preface, it is written that this version was translated by Bocht-Ichô b. Gabriel from Greek to Syriac. Afterwards, all Arabic translations of De Materia Medica were made from this Syriac version.” (Ünver, 1941, p. 130).


Ibn Djuldjul wrote a book called “Tafsiru asma’il adwiyyat al-mufrada min kitabi Dioskorides [The Interpretation of the Names of Simple Drugs in the Book of Dioscorides]”. At the beginning of this book he said that: “This book of Dioscorides was translated in Bagdad in the period of Mutawakkil the Abbasid Calíf. The
translator was Stephanos ibn Basilos. He translated this book from Greek to Arabic. But he did not know the Arabic meanings of all the Greek words, so he did not translate the book in its entirety. He hoped that someone would fill in these gaps after him. Huneyn b. Ishaq checked and corrected this translation and gave his permission for it to be distributed." (Ibn Djuldjul, 1955, p. 21, footnote 7).

2.3. Huneyn b. Ibrahim b. El-Hasan b. Hurshid et-Tabari en-Natili: Huneyn b. Ibrahim b. El-Hasan b. Hurshid et-Tabari en-Natili (died 990) made another De Materia Medica translation for Prince Abu Ali Al-Sencuri in the 10th century (O’Leary, 1948, p. 169-170). Natili studied the text of Stephanos ibn Basilos and Huneyn b. Ishaq. However, he was not well-cognizant of the Greek language, and his intervention of Stephenos’ text was restricted. In this work, Natili wrote the Arabic names of the drugs together with their Greek transcriptions, and he partially changed the settings of drugs. He rarely added Persian plant names which were in use in his territory and in his life time.

2.4. Priest Nicolas: Some sources indicate that Priest Nicolas made a De Materia Medica translation into Greek from Cordoba (Andalusia), during the period of Calif Nasir Abd al-Rahman III (891-961) in the 10th century (Unver, 1941, p. 130; Ibn Djuldjul, 1955, p. 21, footnote 7). There was an illustrated Greek version of De Materia Medica among the gifts which the Byzantine Emperor Constantin VII (905-959) sent to Calif Nasir Abd al-Rahman III in 949 (Ibn Djuldjul, 1955, p. 21, footnote 7). Dioscorides’ De Materia Medica attracted great attention in Cordoba. But in those days Greek was not a well-known language in Cordoba. The Calif needed a translator who would make this great work given to him by the Emperor accessible. Thus, in 951 the Emperor sent the Priest Nicolas to Cordoba. Nicolas not only translated De Materia Medica from Greek to Arabic, he also taught the Greek language in Cordoba. Some court physicians of the Calif including Hasdai ibn Shaprut, Abd al-Rahman b. Ishaq and Ibn Al-Haisem worked together with Nicola (Ibn Djuldjul, 1955, p. 21, footnote 7; Ullmann, 1970, p. 260). Their purpose was to determine and identify the plants which had not been clearly identified by Stephanos ibn Basilos and Huneyn b. Ishaq (Ullmann, 1970, p. 260). According to O’Leary, Nicola’s translation was better than the previous translations and much improved (O’Leary, 1948, p. 171).

2.5. Abu Salim from Malatya: Abu Salim from Malatya made another De Materia Medica translation in the period of Prince of Diyarbekir Kutlug Bey from Artukoglu [Artuqid] in the 12th century (Unver, 1941, p. 130; Sehsuvaroglu, 1961, p. 40, footnote 4). This translation was made from the original Greek version of De Materia Medica. After Abu Salim had finished the translation, it was read to the Prince. Most scholars thought it was mistranslated, and the mission was handed over to Mihran b. Mansur b. Mihran al-Meshihi (Unver, 1941, p. 130-131).

2.6. Mihran b. Mansur b. Mihran al-Meshihi: The Mihran b. Mansur b. Mihran al-Meshihi’s translation was based on the text of the Syriac translation by Huneyn b. Ishaq (Unver, 1941, p. 130-131). Incidentally, when Mihran’s translation is compared with the text by Stephanos ibn Basilos, some differences regarding plant names and medical terminology are perceived (Ullmann, 1970, p. 262). For example, the word τό πψμος [chill, shiver, shudder] was translated by Stephanos ibn Basilos as al-nāfid, and by Mihran as al-ṣuṣā ṭirā. The word ḥudūkīṭṭη [hydrocele] was translated by Stephanos ibn Basilos as udrat al-mā, and by Mihran as qīla mā’īya (Ullmann, 1970, p. 262).

3. DE MATERIA MEDICA MANUSCRIPTS IN MODERN TURKEY

3.1.2. Kitab al-Hashaish Number 3703: This manuscript was supposedly copied in 1224 (Tekiner, 2009, p. 1-2). Copy number 3702 consists of five articles (books) including a total of 187 folios. It contains the following expression at the beginning: (Dioscorides, 1224a, fl. 1a)

" كتاب ديصوريدس الين زيدب في هيلمي
علاج الطلب نقل اصطفان بين بسيل واصلاح حنين بن اسمح".

It reads: “Kitab Diskorides al-Ayn Zarbi fi Hayula ilac et-Tibb naql Istfan bin Basil islah Huneyn bin Ishaq (Book of Dioscorides from Anazarba named Hayula ilac et-Tibb [Main Matters of Medicines] translated by Istfan b. Basil, and corrected by Huneyn bin Ishaq)”. There are some differences between this Arabic version and the English version translated by John Goodyer. Grapes, the different types of wine and minerals were mentioned in the third article of the Arabic manuscript. Venomous animals and their bites and deadly drugs were mentioned in the fifth article. In this Arabic version, some drug names were not translated but the original Greek names were written in Arabic letters, while other drug names were fully translated into Arabic (Dioscorides, 1224a).

3.1.2. Kitab al-Hashaish Number 3703: This manuscript was also supposedly copied in 1224 (Tekiner, 2009, p. 2). At the beginning of version 3703 of Kitab al-Hashaish, it is written that this copy was donated to Ayasofya No: 3702-3703-3704. This manuscript was supposedly copied in 1224 (Tekiner, 2009, p. 1-2). Copy number 3702 consists of five articles (books) including a total of 187 folios. It contains the following expression at the beginning: (Dioscorides, 1224a, fl. 1a)
by Sultan Mahmut II (1785-1839). The first two folios of the manuscript are missing. In this version, there are some bird and animal illustrations besides the plant illustrations, unlike in version 3702. Another difference from version is that version 3703 consists of seven articles in a total of 155 folios. In the sixth article, useful and harmful drugs are mentioned. The seventh article mentions animals and their deadly poisons. There is yet another chapter at the end of this version, added by Huneyn b. Ishaq, mentioning grapes. We learn from a note at the end that the manuscript of this version was Abd-Allah b. Fadhl. In this manuscript many of the drug names were not translated, but the original Greek words were written in Arabic letters (Dioscorides, 1224b).

3.1.3. Kitab al-Hashaish Number 3704: This dating of this manuscript is uncertain. It was supposedly copied sometime between the 10th and 12th centuries (Tekiner, 2009, p. 3). At the beginning of version 3704 of Kitab al-Hashaish it is written that this copy was also donated by Sultan Mahmut II. This version consists of six articles, unlike other Sulaimaniya copies. Another difference from the other versions lies in that there are brief informative notes under the illustrations of the third article. Also differing from the other versions, there is a note at the beginning of the fifth article: “My friend Areius, I mentioned oils, spices, fruits, legumes, roots, different kinds of juice and seeds in four articles before. In this article, I will mention different kinds of wine and mineral drugs.” In the sixth article, animals, poisonous animals, medicinal parts of animals, wool, milk, bile juice, brain and suet are mentioned (Dioscorides, X-XII Century).

3.2. Kitab al-Hashaish fi al-Tibb in the Topkapi Palace Library: There is another Arabic translation of De Materia Medica in the Topkapi Palace Library in Istanbul. This manuscript dates from approximately 1228. Its catalogue number is A.2127, and it has 274 folios (Tekiner, 2009, p. 4). It is known that it was translated by Mihran b. Mansur b. Mihran al-Meshi, and was manuscript by Abu Yusuf Behnam Ibn Musa Ibn Yusuf al-Musuli.

3.3. Persian Manuscript of De Materia Medica: There is also a Persian version of De Materia Medica in the Topkapi Palace Library, dating from 1462. Its catalogue number is 2147, and it has 203 folios (Tekiner, 2009, p. 5). It was translated from Mihran’s Arabic translation by Ali ibn Sherif al-Huseyni. It is known that the translator also manuscript this monumental work.

3.4. Turkish Manuscripts of De Materia Medica: There is an Ottoman Turkish version of De Materia Medica in the Istanbul University Manuscripts Library. It is dated in 1858, and consists of 294 folios (Tekiner, 2009, p. 5). It was translated from Mattioli’s Italian translation by Osman bin Abdurrahman, and received the name of Kitab al-Nebat [The Book of Plants]. This manuscript is a copy of the original Ottoman translation. Its original translation was made in 1777 (see also section 5). It was copied (manuscript) by Nuri Uskudari al-Sayyid Ali Riza (Tekiner, 2009, p. 5).

4. STUDIES ON DE MATERIA MEDICA IN THE ISLAMIC ERA

Following the translation movement of the Islamic science period, in approximately the 9th century, when scientists began to write their own original books on simple drugs, De Materia Medica was widely used. In the footnote of Ibn Djuljul’s Tabakat al-atibba wa al-hükema [The Degrees of Physicians and Scholars], the publisher Fuad Sayyid indicates that Islamic writers often referred to De Materia Medica in their books: (Ibn Djuljul, 1955, p. 21, footnote 7).

4.1. Ibn Djuljul: Ibn Djuljul wrote a great work called Tafsiru Asma al Adviyat al-Mufred min Kitabi Dioskorides [Explanation of the Names of Simple Drugs in the Book of Dioscorides]. Unfortunately the complete book is lost, yet a small part of it can be found in Madrid Library Number 233 (Ibn Djuljul, 1955, p. 12). There is some information about a manuscript of this book, scribed in 1294, in the appendix of Eb Subat’s Catalogue (p. 38), yet there is no information about the whereabouts of this copy. Khafqi and Ibn Baitar also cited Ibn Djuljul’s study on Dioscorides (Ibn Djuljul, 1955, p. 13).

4.2. Ibn Sina (died 1037): In Al-Qanun fi al-Tibb [The Canon of Medicine], many items in the chapter about simple drugs were taken from De Materia Medica.

For example, mentioning the plant named ikkil al melik (yellow sweetclover), Ibn Sina writes: “Dioscorides says that some people call it “isqifon” (اتسقفون). It is a dry plant; it has many branches, and it is quadratic. Its color is whitish. Its leaves look like quince, are slightly rigid and plumed. It grows on rigid soil... Dioscorides says that the best variety of that plant has a color like saffron and it has a strong fragrance.” (Ibn Sina, 1833-1834, p. 243).

In the chapter on uqhuvan (oxeye daisy), Ibn Sina cites Dioscorides as follows: “Dioscorides says some people refer to it as “amaryon” (اٍماراتون) and yet others refer to it as “qorinbon” (قورينبون). Its leaves look like coriander’s. Its flowers are white and round. It has a strong smell and it tastes bitter...” (Ibn Sina, 1833-1834, p. 250).

4.3. Ali b. Ridvan (the Egyptian, died 1061): He refers to Dioscorides in his own book on simple drugs.

4.5. Al Sherif al-Idrisi (died 1165): He wrote a book named Al-Jami’li Sifati Ashtati al-Nabat [The Collection of Properties of Plant Types], referring to Dioscorides’ studies, and also added some plants not mentioned by Dioscorides.


In this book, there are 2,353 simple drugs in alphabetical order (Kaya, 1999, p. 526-527). The earliest Turkish translation of this book dates back to the reign of Aydnoglu Umur Bey (1340-1348), to whom the translation was dedicated. The drug names are given in Turkish and Greek, in alphabetical order. Its second translation to Turkish is by Physicin Rindani, in 1681. He called this book Risale-i levazimu’t-tibb min sharh Ibn Bair [A Booklet about Medical Supplies Commented by Ibn Bair] (Şehsuvaroğlu, 1970, p. 281-282). A copy of this translation can be found in The Library of The Department of History of Medicine and Ethics, Istanbul University Istanbul Medical School, registered as TY. 68.

Here are some examples from this book, referring to Dioscorides:

In the section about mint: “Dioscorides and Ibn Wafid say that if sesame is ground and mixed with rose oil and wrapped around the head, it heals sun-induced headache….” (Ibn Bair, 1681, fl. 5b).

In the section about black cumin: “Dioscorides says that if black cumin is soaked in vinegar throughout one day and one night, is then ground and mixed with rose oil and dripped into the nose, it heals chronic headache from cold weather…” (Ibn Bair, 1681, fl. 6a).

In the section about bull horn: “Dioscorides, Rhases and Galenos say bull horn that is burned and its ashes pounded with water, produces a mixture that is helpful if someone who has a bleeding throat drinks it, and this is well tested…” (Ibn Bair, 1681, fl. 124a).

And also in the section on petty morel, the following expression is eye catching: “Rhases, Dioscorides, Ibn Butlan say that drinking one misqa6 of petty morel boiled with hydromel is helpful for heart palpitations due to cold weather. It strengthens the chest, the lungs and stomach, and removes halitosis…” (Ibn Bair, 1681, fl. 167a).


This list is not limited to the above names. There are more Islamic writers who referred to De Materia Medica, including names such as Al-Biruni7 and Al-Razi8.

5. DE MATERIA MEDICA IN THE OTTOMAN PERIOD

During the Ottoman period, De Materia Medica was a basic source for simple drugs.

Risale-i Feizie fi Lugat al-Mufradat al-Tibbiye [A Booklet by Ebulfizez [name of the author] on The Dictionary about Simple Medicines] by Ebulfizez Mustafa from the 17th century is a good example in demonstrating Dioscorides’ effect on Ottoman therapeutics (Aydin, 1998, p. 161). This book alphabetically records the Turkish and the Arabic names of herbal, animal and mineral drugs. A copy of it can be found in the Library of The Department of History of Medicine and Ethics, Istanbul Medical School, Istanbul University, No: Y.165 and 233. Some citations in this book from Dioscorides include:

“Tabak means fleabane in Turkish. It looks like li-verwort. In the illustrated book of Dioscorides, it is described exactly the same as a plant with leaves that look like tobacco…” (Ebulfizez Mustafa, 17. century, fl. 88a-b).

From the item on qastran (betonik):

“Some of them call it “adjikidji” in Turkish; some of them call it “sokluk otu”. But at the beginning of the fourth article of the illustrated book of Dioscori-
One of the books about simple drugs in the Ottoman Age was *Mufredat al-Tibb* [Simple Medicines] written by Fazlızade Mehmed in 1763. A copy of this book is in the Istanbul Sulaimaniya Library, registered in Hamidiye No: 1017. This book consists of two chapters besides the introduction. The simple drugs were mentioned in the first chapter (Aydın, 1998, p. 127). The writer referred to eighteen different sources including Ibn Sina, Rhazes, Hippocrates, Ibn Baitar and Dioscorides (Aydın, 1998, p. 135). The section on daphne is a good example of citations from Dioscorides:

“...and Ibn Baitar said that its leaves were known in Andalusia as “aris mazerium”, “maderyun” and also as “mazer”. There were a number of names, but al-Hasil Abd-Allah b. Salih and Galenos and Dioscorides and others said, that these three plants were the same...” (Aydın, 1998, p. 139). There are also two other Dioscorides citations in this book. In the section on styrax: “There is much controversy about istirak (اصطراد). In credited books it receives the name “styrax”. But it is controversial that “istirak” is “styrax” or “frankincense”. In Greek books, Dioscorides said “istirak” is “styrax”.” (Fazlızade Mehmed, 1763, fl. 6a).

The second example is the section on cupressus:

“According to Dioscorides, the nature of cupressus (البروطون) is hot and wet.” (Fazlızade Mehmed, 1763, fl. 6a).

P. A. Matthioli (1500-1577) wrote a book called *Pedacio Dioscoride Anazarbeo Della Materia Medicinale*. Some parts of this book were translated to Ottoman Turkish by Osman b. Abdurrahman in 1777 (Aydın, 1998, p. 144). This translation was called *Kitab al-Nebat* [The Book of Plants]. It is the most important translation of *De Materia Medica* in the Ottoman period. Although the book of Dioscorides consists of five chapters, this translation includes only the first four chapters. Matthioli’s Italian version of *De Materia Medica* is not in alphabetical order like the original version; but the translation includes catalogs, which facilitate the search for drugs. This characteristic feature of *De Materia Medica* was preserved during the Turkish translation, so these catalogs are user-friendly.

In the preface of this manuscript, the translator Osman b. Abdurrahman says that he gave Arabic, Turkish and Latin synonyms of some drugs, and only Arabic and Latin synonyms of others, Latin names of many of them and Bosnian and Greek synonyms of some of them (Aydın, 1998, p. 144). In addition to this, drug explanations are detailed and systematic. This manuscript is not illustrated, and the nature and the efficacies of the drugs were stated separately and manuscript with red ink. There are four chapters in these books which respectively consist of 119, 126, 146, and 105 drugs (Aydın, 1998, p. 144). A copy of this manuscript is registered as number 19/1 in Istanbul University, Cerrahpaşa Medical Faculty, History of Medicine Museum.

6. CONCLUSION

*De Materia Medica* of Dioscorides is one of the earliest pharmaceutical works dealing with medicinal plants, animal parts and products, and minerals, and was accepted as an almost infallible source as late as the Renaissance period. The work of Dioscorides served as the corner stone for both western and eastern pharmaceutical and herbal writing for a period spanning 1,500 years. This monumental work was translated into Syriac, Arabic, and Persian as well as Latin, exerting a profound influence on the development of medicine in the Near East as well as in Europe. After the book of Theophrastus, *De Materia Medica* is the most comprehensive and systematic work on simple drugs. It was through the pioneering efforts of Arabs that The Greek Herbal of Pedanius Dioscorides was transmitted to mediaeval Europe. The special characteristics of Arabist therapy was the widespread employment of drugs of all kinds which is why *De Materia Medica* of Dioscorides was studied so closely. It was used extensively by many doctors and medical writers of the Eastern and the Western cultures. The fact that *De Materia Medica* of Dioscorides was a great source of information for other historic pharmaceutical works in the writings of Ibn Sina, al-Razi, Ibn Djuldjul, Ali bin Ridvan, al-Ghaqiqi, Abdullahat al-Baghdadi, Ibn Baitar, al-Biruni and Davud al-Antaki who all used *De Materia Medica* of Dioscorides as a pharmaceutical source, and referred to Dioscorides in their books. Some of those writers wrote a treatise to explain the drug names cited in *De Materia Medica*. *De Materia Medica* also led to the constitution of a detailed Arabic botanical nomenclature. *De Materia Medica* was of considerable importance for Turk-Islamic medicine because Turk-Islamic physicians used this monumental book effectively and frequently.
NOTES

1 Gabriel b. Bocht-Ichô (died 828) was a member of the Bocht-Ichô family. He was raised as a physician by his father Bocht-Ichô II. He was the court physician of Calif Harun Al-Rashid an Calif Al-Ma’mun. He made great contributions to Islamic medicine through his many Arabic translations from the Greek, Syriac and Persian languages in Bait al-Hikma (Translation School in Baghdad). (See: Doğruyol, 1992, p. 379).

2 Bocht-Ichô b. Gabriel (died 870) was the sixth physician in the Bocht-Ichô family. When his father Gabriel b. Bocht-Ichô died, he became the court physician of Calif Mamun. (See: Bayat, 1992, p. 379).

3 He was born in 766 A.D. His native languages were Arabic and Syriac. He learned medicine from Yuhanna bin Masawaih (director of Bait al-Hikma). He learned Greek in Alexandria. He worked in Bait al-Hikma and translated over 100 books to the Arabic language. He died in 877. (See: Sami, 1891, p. 1993–1996).

4 The full name of this book is Kashf al-Zunun an Asami al-Kutubi wa al-Funun [The Eliminations of Suspicions About Names of Books and Sciences].

5 Katib Chalabi, in his work Kashf al-Zunun, cites the name of the monarch as the Byzantine Emperor Ermanius. (See: Ibn Djuldjul, 1955, p. 21, footnote 7).

6 A unit of weight in the Islamic world, usually taken as equivalent to 4.25 grams, used especially to weigh precious metals.

7 Alberuni, Aliboron (writer of Kitab Al-Saydana fi Al-Tibb) [See: Kahya and Erdemir, 2000, p. 31).
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